UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,266	12/16/2004	David Keith Roberts	NL 020546	3560
	7590 12/09/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY	
BRIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10	/518,266	ROBERTS, DAV	ROBERTS, DAVID KEITH			
Office Action Summary			aminer	Art Unit				
		Ve	nkat Perungavoor	2432				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN 160 MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. utory period will app vill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION In no event, however, may a rolly and will expire SIX (6) MON to the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>05 Octob</i>	er 2009					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	on is non-final.					
′=	<i>'-</i>							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	<b>,</b>	,	,				
		ing in the ann	lication					
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 11-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
′=	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7,11-15</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 1, 3-1, 11-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ction requirement					
0)	ciam(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or cic	ottom requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correction is	required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
, <b>.</b>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

The Applicant's argument/amendment with regard to 35 USC 101 rejection is persuasive and therefore is withdrawn.

The Applicant's argument with regard to the Specification's objection is persuasive and therefore withdrawn.

The Applicant's amendment of Claim 11 obviates the 112 rejection and therefore withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's arguments with regard to Claim 4-7 are not persuasive. As the action does not omit the citation of rejection, but rather takes a broad and inclusive view of the claims to form the basis for the rejection. *In re Zletz*, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989), "During patent examination the pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow... The reason is simply that during patent prosecution when claims can be amended, ambiguities should be recognized, scope and breadth of language explored, and clarification imposed... An

essential purpose of patent examination is to fashion claims that are precise, clear, correct and unambiguous. Only in this way can uncertainties of claim scope be removed, as much as possible, during the administrative process".

The Applicant argues that the signature is not spread throughout the image. That is, signature is not limited to one block but rather spread to two or more of data.

Brunk discloses the spreading watermark across multiple frames, and this fragments are stretched through multiple time frames see Par. 0071. And further Brunk mentions the replicating of watermarks over contiguous blocks of image see Par. 0092. Thus, Brunk's invention spreads the watermark over multiple frames and segments.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3-7 and 11-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication 2002/0157005 A1 to Brunk et al.(hereinafter Brunk).

Regarding Claim 1, 11,12, Brunk discloses dividing a whole image that contains at least one region of flat content into a plurality of regions. See Fig. 1 item 102;

Art Unit: 2432

generating a signature including generating signature bits from each of the plurality of regions including the at least one region of flat content. See Par. 0024;

embedding of said signature without subdividing the signature by spreading the signature bits of said signature across at least a portion of the image which is larger, than one of the regions, such that the signature bits from all regions can be extracted even if the at least one region of flat content has been replaced by tampering whereby the image is protected from tampering in the at least one region of flat content. See Par. 0025 & Par. 0020(where the watermarked signal is the signature that is embedded throughout the image).

Regarding Claim 3-7, Brunk discloses the signature being embedded in multiple areas and spread throughout the image. See Par. 0070 & Par. 0099.

Regarding Claim 13, Brunk discloses the many application where the embedding of signals is used. See Par. 0064-0065.

Regarding Claim 14, 15, Brunk discloses receiving at least one video image with a processor see Par. 0041;

with the processor, dividing the image into a plurality of regions including at least one region of flat content and a plurality of regions with non-flat content see Fig. 1 item 102;

Art Unit: 2432

with the processor, generating at least one bit of a signature from each of the regions including from the at least one region of flat content see Par. 0052;

with the processor, embedding the signature only in the plurality of regions with the non-flat content see Par.0053 & Par. 0071; and

subsequently with the same or a different processor, extracting the signature bits from the plurality of regions with the non-flat content and, from the extracted bits, determining if the at least one region of flat content has been subject to tampering see Par. 00103.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto

Application/Control Number: 10/518,266 Page 6

Art Unit: 2432

Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Venkat Perungavoor/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432 December 3, 2009

/Jung Kim/

Primary Examiner, AU 2432